

GENERALIZED k, m -STEP FIBONACCI SEQUENCES AND MATRICES

MARIA ADAM¹, NICHOLAS ASSIMAKIS² AND GRIGORIOS TZIALLAS²

¹ Department of Computer Science and Biomedical Informatics, University of Thessaly,
2-4 Papasiopoulou str., P.O. 35100 Lamia, Greece

² Department of Electronic Engineering, Technological Educational Institute of Central
Greece, 3rd km Old National Road Lamia-Athens, Lamia, Greece
madam@dib.uth.gr (M. Adam), assimakis@teiste.gr (N. Assimakis),
gt@teiste.gr (Gr. Tziallas)

ABSTRACT. For two given integers k, m and the nonnegative real constants c_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, we introduce the *generalized k, m -step Fibonacci sequence* by presenting a recursive formula that generates the n -th term as the sum of k successive previous terms starting the sum at the m -th previous term. The *generalized k, m -Fibonacci matrices* are defined, their characteristic polynomials are presented and some bounds for the spectral radius and the modulus of the remaining eigenvalues of the matrices are discussed. A closed formula of the n -th term of generalized k, m -step Fibonacci sequence is given generalizing the Binet's formula. Two limiting properties of the sequence are presented. The limits are related to the spectral radius of the generalized k, m -Fibonacci matrices.

1. Introduction

Fibonacci numbers are one of the best-known numerical sequences and have many important applications to a wide variety of research areas such as mathematics, computer science, physics, biology, and statistics. For the applications and the theory of Fibonacci numbers see, e.g. [1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 6, 8] and the references given therein. In [1, 2, 8], the well-known Fibonacci sequence is formulated by the recurrence relation $f_n = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2}$, $n \geq 3$, with $f_1 = f_2 = 1$.

Many authors have considered and discussed the extending/generalizing of the above definition as in the following:

- the k -step Fibonacci sequence is derived by the recurrence relation, $f_n = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2} + \dots + f_{n-k}$, $n \geq k + 1$, with $f_1 = f_2 = \dots = f_k = 1$, [1, 7, 8],

Received: 28 August 2015; Accepted: 18 November 2015

Key words and phrases: generalized Fibonacci numbers; Fibonacci matrix and polynomials; spectral radius

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 11B39; 15A18

- the generalized k -step Fibonacci sequence is derived by the recurrence relation, $f_n = c_1 f_{n-1} + c_2 f_{n-2} + \dots + c_k f_{n-k}$, $n \geq k + 1$, with $f_1 = f_2 = \dots = f_k = 1$, and c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k are arbitrary real numbers, [2, 3, 5, 6, 10].

In the present paper, we define the generalized k, m -step Fibonacci sequences and the associated generalized k, m -Fibonacci matrices and a closed formula for the generalized k, m -step Fibonacci sequences is derived as well. Two limiting properties concerning the generalized k, m -step Fibonacci sequences are obtained, related to the spectral radius of the generalized k, m -Fibonacci matrices.

2. Generalized k, m -step Fibonacci sequences and Fibonacci matrices

For the integers $k = 1, 2, \dots$, $m = 0, 1, \dots$, and the real numbers c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k , where c_1 is a positive constant and c_2, c_3, \dots, c_k are nonnegative real constants, we define the *generalized k, m -step Fibonacci sequence*,

$\left(f_n^{\{k,m\}}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)\right)_{n=1,2,\dots}$, whose n -th term f_n is given by the recursive formulation

$$\begin{aligned} f_n &= c_1 f_{n-m-1} + c_2 f_{n-m-2} + \dots + c_k f_{n-m-k} \\ (1) \quad &= \sum_{j=m+1}^{k+m} c_{j-m} f_{n-j}, \quad \text{for every } n \geq k + m + 1, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$(2) \quad f_1 = f_2 = \dots = f_{k+m} = 1.$$

From $c_1 > 0$, $c_2, c_3, \dots, c_k \geq 0$ and (1)-(2), it is obvious that all the terms f_n of the generalized k, m -step Fibonacci sequence $\left(f_n^{\{k,m\}}(c_1, \dots, c_k)\right)_{n=1,2,\dots}$ are positive numbers and f_n is the sum of k terms starting the sum at the m -th previous term from f_n ; thus equation (1) can be written equivalently as,

$$\begin{aligned} f_n &= c_1 f_{n-m-1} + c_2 f_{n-m-2} + \dots + c_k f_{n-m-k} \\ (3) \quad &= \sum_{i=1}^k c_i f_{n-m-i}, \quad \text{for every } n \geq k + m + 1. \end{aligned}$$

For $m = 0$, the n -th term f_n of the *generalized k -step Fibonacci sequence* $\left(f_n^{\{k,0\}}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)\right)_{n=1,2,\dots}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_n &= c_1 f_{n-1} + c_2 f_{n-2} + \dots + c_{k-1} f_{n-k+1} + c_k f_{n-k} \\ (4) \quad &= \sum_{i=1}^k c_i f_{n-i}, \quad \text{for every } n \geq k + 1, \end{aligned}$$

with initial values

$$(5) \quad f_1 = f_2 = \dots = f_k = 1.$$

Remark 2.1. (i): From (2)-(3) it is evident that for $k = 1$ and $m = 0, 1, \dots$, the n -th term f_n of the associated generalized Fibonacci sequence

$$\left(f_n^{\{1,m\}}(c_1)\right)_{n=1,2,\dots}$$

is equal to $f_n = c_1^n$. Hereafter consider $k \geq 2$, since the case $k = 1$ is trivial.

(ii): For $c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_k = 1$ the generalized k, m -step Fibonacci sequence $\left(f_n^{\{k,m\}}(1, 1, \dots, 1)\right)_{n=1,2,\dots}$ gives known sequences for various values of the steps k, m :

-for $k = 2, m = 0$, the equations (4)-(5) give the well-known Fibonacci sequence, $1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, \dots$, [1, 8].

-for $k = 2, m = 1$, the equations (2)-(3) give the Padovan sequence, $1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, \dots$, [1].

(iii): Notice that the terms of the sequence $\left(f_n^{\{k,m\}}(0, c_2, \dots, c_k)\right)_{n=1,2,\dots}$ are

identified with the terms of the sequence $\left(f_n^{\{k-1,m+1\}}(c_2, \dots, c_k)\right)_{n=1,2,\dots}$,

an observation that led us to formulate the restriction $c_1 \neq 0$ in the definition in (1) and its equivalent formula in (3). Moreover, notice that for $c_1 > 0$, and $c_2 = c_3 = \dots = c_k = 0$, the terms of the sequence

$\left(f_n^{\{k,m\}}(c_1, 0, \dots, 0)\right)_{n=1,2,\dots}$ have the same pattern. Hereafter consider

at least two nonzero coefficients $c_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, in (3) because otherwise we have a trivial case.

Consider $k \geq 2, m = 0, c_1 > 0, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_k \geq 0$, and using (4), the generalized k -step Fibonacci sequence $\left(f_n^{\{k,0\}}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)\right)_{n=1,2,\dots}$ can be represented by a $k \times k$ matrix, $Q_k(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$, which is defined as

$$Q_k(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k) = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & \dots & c_k \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(6)

where the $1 \times k$ first row has entries the real numbers c_1, \dots, c_k . In the following, $Q_k(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$ is called *generalized k -Fibonacci matrix*.

Consider $k \geq 2, m \geq 1, c_1 > 0, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_k \geq 0$, and using (3), the generalized k, m -step Fibonacci sequence $\left(f_n^{\{k,m\}}(c_1, \dots, c_k)\right)_{n=1,2,\dots}$ can be represented by a

$(k+m) \times (k+m)$ matrix, $R_{k,m}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$, which is a block matrix such that

$$(7) \quad R_{k,m}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k) = \begin{bmatrix} R_1 & R_2 \\ R_3 & R_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & c_1 & \cdots & c_{k-1} & c_k \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where the first row consists of the vector-matrices R_1, R_2 ; the m entries of the $1 \times m$ vector R_1 are equal to zero and the rest k entries of the $1 \times k$ vector R_2 are the real numbers c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k ; the $(k+m-1) \times (k+m-1)$ matrix R_3 is the identity matrix and the $(k+m-1) \times 1$ vector R_4 is equal to zero vector. In the following, $R_{k,m}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$ is called *generalized k, m -Fibonacci matrix*.

Remark 2.2. (i): The generalized k -Fibonacci matrix $Q_k(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$ in (6) has been presented in [5] and its determinant has been computed in [3, 6].

(ii): For $c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_k = 1$, the associated generalized k -Fibonacci matrix $Q_k(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ in (6) has been discussed in [1, 7] and the associated generalized k, m -Fibonacci matrix $R_{k,m}(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ in (7) has been investigated in [1].

(iii): The trace of a matrix A is denoted by $tr(A)$. It is evident from (6) and (7), respectively, that

$$tr(Q_k(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)) = c_1, \quad \text{and} \quad tr(R_{k,m}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)) = 0.$$

Proposition 2.3. [5] *The k -th degree characteristic polynomial $x_{Q_k}(\lambda)$ of the generalized k -Fibonacci matrix $Q_k(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$ in (6) is given by*

$$(8) \quad x_{Q_k}(\lambda) = \lambda^k - \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \lambda^{k-i}.$$

The set of all eigenvalues of A is denoted by $\sigma(A)$ and called the *spectrum* of A ; the nonnegative real number $\rho(A) = \max\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(A)\}$ is called *spectral radius* of A . Recall that a matrix A with nonnegative entries is said to be *primitive*, if A is irreducible and has only one eigenvalue of maximum modulus, [4, Definition 8.5.0].

Here, q_{ij} denotes the ij -th entry of $Q_k(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$, for $1 \leq i, j \leq k$, and $\rho(Q_k) \equiv \rho(Q_k(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k))$ the spectral radius of $Q_k(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$; since q_{ij} are nonnegative real numbers according to [4, Theorem 8.1.22] we can write the

following bounds

$$\ell_1 \equiv \min_{1 \leq j \leq k} \sum_{i=1}^k q_{ij} \leq \rho(Q_k) \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \sum_{i=1}^k q_{ij} \equiv w_1,$$

$$\ell_2 \equiv \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} \sum_{j=1}^k q_{ij} \leq \rho(Q_k) \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \sum_{j=1}^k q_{ij} \equiv w_2,$$

where

$$\ell_1 \equiv \min_{1 \leq j \leq k} \sum_{i=1}^k q_{ij} = \min\left\{ \min_{1 \leq j \leq k-1} \{1 + c_j\}, c_k \right\},$$

$$w_1 \equiv \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \sum_{i=1}^k q_{ij} = \max\left\{ \max_{1 \leq j \leq k-1} \{1 + c_j\}, c_k \right\},$$

$$\ell_2 \equiv \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} \sum_{j=1}^k q_{ij} = \min\left\{ 1, \sum_{j=1}^k c_j \right\},$$

$$w_2 \equiv \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \sum_{j=1}^k q_{ij} = \max\left\{ 1, \sum_{j=1}^k c_j \right\}.$$

Since ℓ_1, ℓ_2, w_1, w_2 are positive real numbers the above relations yield

$$(9) \quad \max\{\ell_1, \ell_2\} \leq \rho(Q_k) \leq \min\{w_1, w_2\}.$$

Since (8) leads to

$$(10) \quad \det(Q_k(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)) = (-1)^k(-c_k) = (-1)^{k+1}c_k,$$

and c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k are nonnegative real numbers with $c_1 \neq 0$, from (10) it is obvious that $Q_k(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$ is a nonsingular matrix if and only if $c_k \neq 0$, and then all the eigenvalues of $Q_k(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$ are nonzero. In the following proposition, the characteristic polynomial of the generalized k, m -Fibonacci matrix $R_{k,m}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$ is formulated.

Proposition 2.4. *The $(k + m)$ -th degree characteristic polynomial $x_{R_{k,m}}(\lambda)$ of the generalized k, m -Fibonacci matrix $R_{k,m}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$ in (7) is given by*

$$(11) \quad x_{R_{k,m}}(\lambda) = \lambda^{k+m} - \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \lambda^{k-i}.$$

The characteristic polynomial in (11) for $c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_k = 1$ has been investigated in [1, Proposition 5]; Proposition 2.4 can be proved using the same statements as in [1, Proposition 5].

Since (11) leads to

$$(12) \quad \det(R_{k,m}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)) = (-1)^{k+m}(-c_k) = (-1)^{k+m+1}c_k,$$

we conclude that $R_{k,m}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$ is a nonsingular matrix if and only if $c_k \neq 0$. In this case, all the eigenvalues of $R_{k,m}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$ are nonzero.

Let r_{ij} denote the ij -th entry of $R_{k,m}(c_1, \dots, c_k)$, for $1 \leq i, j \leq k+m$, and $\rho(R_{k,m}) \equiv \rho(R_{k,m}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k))$ the spectral radius of $R_{k,m}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$; since r_{ij} are nonnegative real numbers and

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{1 \leq j \leq k+m} \sum_{i=1}^{k+m} r_{ij} &= \min\{1, \min_{1 \leq j \leq k-1} \{1 + c_j\}, c_k\} = \min\{1, c_k\} \equiv \tilde{\ell}_1, \\ \max_{1 \leq j \leq k+m} \sum_{i=1}^{k+m} r_{ij} &= \max\{1, \max_{1 \leq j \leq k-1} \{1 + c_j\}, c_k\} \\ &= \max\{\max_{1 \leq j \leq k-1} \{1 + c_j\}, c_k\} \equiv \tilde{w}_1, \\ \min_{1 \leq i \leq k+m} \sum_{j=1}^{k+m} r_{ij} &= \min\{1, \sum_{j=1}^k c_j\} \equiv \tilde{\ell}_2, \\ \max_{1 \leq i \leq k+m} \sum_{j=1}^{k+m} r_{ij} &= \max\{1, \sum_{j=1}^k c_j\} \equiv \tilde{w}_2, \end{aligned}$$

according to [4, Theorem 8.1.22], we derive

$$(13) \quad \tilde{\ell}_1 \leq \rho(R_{k,m}) \leq \tilde{w}_1,$$

$$(14) \quad \tilde{\ell}_2 \leq \rho(R_{k,m}) \leq \tilde{w}_2.$$

Since $\tilde{\ell}_1, \tilde{\ell}_2, \tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2 > 0$, combining (13) and (14) we can write

$$(15) \quad \max\{\tilde{\ell}_1, \tilde{\ell}_2\} \leq \rho(R_{k,m}) \leq \min\{\tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2\}.$$

For the integers $k \geq 2$ and $m > 0$, since the entries of the matrix

$$(I_{k+m} + R_{k,m}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k))^{k+m-1}$$

are positive real numbers, the generalized k, m -Fibonacci matrix $R_{k,m}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$ is an irreducible matrix, [4, Lemma 8.4.1]; it follows that the spectral radius $\rho(R_{k,m})$ is a positive, simple (without multiplicity) eigenvalue of $R_{k,m}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$, [4, Theorem 8.4.4].

Additionally, the entries of $R_{k,m}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)^{(k+m)^2 - 2(k+m) + 2}$ are positive real numbers, thus $R_{k,m}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$ is a primitive matrix [4, Corollary 8.5.9], i.e., $\rho(R_{k,m})$ is the unique eigenvalue with maximum modulus [4, Definition 8.5.0]. Hence, in the following, we denote $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{k+m-1}, \rho(R_{k,m})$ all the distinct eigenvalues of $R_{k,m}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$, for which the following inequality holds

$$(16) \quad 0 < |\lambda_j| < \rho(R_{k,m}); \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, k+m-1.$$

In the following, we may rewrite the terms of the Fibonacci sequence

$$\left(f_n^{\{k,m\}}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k) \right)_{n=1,2,\dots}$$

such that some initial terms can be defined by negative indexed. To this end, we use the *Dirac delta function* (or δ function), which is denoted by $\delta_{n-j} = \begin{cases} 0, & n \neq j \\ 1, & n = j \end{cases}$, and the *Heaviside step function* $u_{n-j} = \begin{cases} 0, & n < j \\ 1, & n \geq j \end{cases}$.

Consider that, the first $k + m$ negative indexed terms are equal to zero

$$(17) \quad f_{-(k+m-1)} = \dots = f_{-1} = f_0 = 0,$$

then the n -th term f_n of the generalized k, m -step Fibonacci sequence $\left(f_n^{\{k,m\}}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k) \right)_{n=1,2,\dots}$, which is formulated in the following proposition, follows immediately from (2) and (3).

Proposition 2.5. *Let c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k be the given nonnegative real numbers with $c_1 \neq 0$, and the given integers $k \geq 2, m \geq 0$. For all $n = 1, 2, \dots$, the n -th term f_n of the generalized k, m -step Fibonacci sequence is given by the following recurrence relation*

$$(18) \quad f_n = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i f_{n-m-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{k+m} \delta_{n-i} - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} c_j \sum_{i=1}^{k-j} \delta_{n-m-j-i},$$

with initial values in (17).

By the recurrence relation in (18) and the initial values in (17) for $m = 0$, the n -th term f_n of the k -step Fibonacci sequence $\left(f_n^{\{k,0\}}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k) \right)_{n=1,2,\dots}$ is given by

$$(19) \quad f_n = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i f_{n-i} + \sum_{i=1}^k \delta_{n-i} - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} c_j \sum_{i=1}^{k-j} \delta_{n-j-i},$$

with initial values

$$(20) \quad f_{-(k-1)} = \dots = f_{-1} = f_0 = 0.$$

Furthermore, the z -transform on both sides of (18) yields

$$(21) \quad F(z) = \frac{1}{z^{-(k+m)} x_{R_{k,m}}(z)} \left(z^{-1} + \dots + z^{-(k+m)} - c_1 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} z^{-(m+1+i)} - c_2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} z^{-(m+2+i)} - \dots - c_{k-2} \sum_{i=1}^2 z^{-(k+m+i-2)} - c_{k-1} z^{-(k+m)} \right).$$

From (21) it is worth noting that the poles of $F(z)$ are the eigenvalues of $R_{k,m}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$, which are simple (distinct) and the complex eigenvalues are

conjugate; furthermore, the degree of the polynomial of denominator of $F(z)$ is greater or equal than the degree of the polynomial of the numerator, thus, the partial-fraction decomposition of (21) is given by

$$(22) \quad F(z) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} c_i + \frac{a}{1 - \rho(R_{k,m})z^{-1}} + \sum_{j=1}^{k+m-1} \frac{a_j}{1 - \lambda_j z^{-1}},$$

where $a, \rho(R_{k,m}) \in \mathbb{R}$, and the others coefficients a_j are complex or real numbers.

In the following proposition, we are able to present the closed formula of the terms of the sequence $(f_n^{\{k,m\}}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k))_{n=1,2,\dots}$, which depends on the spectral radius $\rho(R_{k,m})$ of

$$R_{k,m}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$$

and the others eigenvalues λ_j of $R_{k,m}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{k+m-1}, \rho(R_{k,m})$ be the eigenvalues of the generalized k, m -Fibonacci matrix $R_{k,m}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$ and the fixed integers k, m , with $k \geq 2, m > 0$. The n -th term f_n of the generalized k, m -step Fibonacci sequence $(f_n^{\{k,m\}}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k))_{n=1,2,\dots}$ is given by*

$$(23) \quad f_n = a(\rho(R_{k,m}))^n + \sum_{j=1}^{k+m-1} a_j(\lambda_j)^n,$$

where a, a_j , for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, k+m-1$, are the determined coefficients of the partial-fraction decomposition in (22).

Proof. For all $n = 1, 2, \dots$ the inverse z -transformation on both sides of (22) yields

$$f_n = \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} c_i\right) \delta_n + a(\rho(R_{k,m}))^n u_n + \sum_{j=1}^{k+m-1} a_j(\lambda_j)^n u_n.$$

The closed formula of f_n in (23) follows from the above equation and the definitions of δ and Heaviside step functions. \square

The spectral radius $\rho(R_{k,m})$ of $R_{k,m}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$ in (7) is a characteristic quantity, which appears in (23) and its significance is presented in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7. *For the fixed integers k, m , with $k \geq 2, m > 0$, the positive numbers f_n in (23) satisfy the limit properties*

$$(24) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_{n+1}}{f_n} = \rho(R_{k,m}),$$

$$(25) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{f_n} = \rho(R_{k,m}),$$

where $\rho(R_{k,m})$ is the spectral radius of $R_{k,m}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$ in (7).

Proof. Consider that in (22) the polar form of the determined coefficients a_j is denoted by $a_j = |a_j|e^{i\theta_j}$, and the polar form of the eigenvalues (except the spectral

radius) is given by $\lambda_j = |\lambda_j|e^{i\omega_j}$, for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, k + m - 1$. The substitution of a_j, λ_j from their polar forms in (23) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_n &= a(\rho(R_{k,m}))^n + \sum_{j=1}^{k+m-1} |a_j|e^{i\theta_j}(|\lambda_j|e^{i\omega_j})^n \\
 (26) \qquad &= a(\rho(R_{k,m}))^n + \sum_{j=1}^{k+m-1} |a_j||\lambda_j|^n e^{i(\theta_j+n\omega_j)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (26) and the property of the spectral radius $\rho(R_{k,m}) > 0$ from (16), we can write

$$(27) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_{n+1}}{f_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\rho(R_{k,m}))^{n+1} (a + \sum_{j=1}^{k+m-1} |a_j| \left| \frac{\lambda_j}{\rho(R_{k,m})} \right|^{n+1} e^{i(\theta_j+(n+1)\omega_j)})}{(\rho(R_{k,m}))^n (a + \sum_{j=1}^{k+m-1} |a_j| \left| \frac{\lambda_j}{\rho(R_{k,m})} \right|^n e^{i(\theta_j+n\omega_j)})}.$$

Since the inequality in (16) implies $\left| \frac{\lambda_j}{\rho(R_{k,m})} \right| < 1$, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k + m - 1$, and the sequence $(e^{i(\theta_j+n\omega_j)})_{n=1,2,\dots}$ is bounded as the sum of the bounded sequences $(\cos(\theta_j + n\omega_j))_{n=1,2,\dots}$ as well as $(\sin(\theta_j + n\omega_j))_{n=1,2,\dots}$, it is obvious that

$$(28) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\lambda_j}{\rho(R_{k,m})} \right|^{n+1} e^{i(\theta_j+(n+1)\omega_j)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\lambda_j}{\rho(R_{k,m})} \right|^n e^{i(\theta_j+n\omega_j)} = 0.$$

Thus, the validity of (24) follows from (27) and (28).

Furthermore, it is well-known that for a sequence $(\alpha_n)_{n=1,2,\dots}$ of nonzero complex numbers, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{\alpha_n} = \alpha$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|\alpha_n|} = \alpha$, [9, Chapter 1], whereby it is evident that for the generalized k, m -step Fibonacci sequence $(f_n^{\{k,m\}}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k))_{n=1,2,\dots}$ of the positive real numbers ($f_n > 0$), the equality (25) follows immediately from (24). \square

Notice that, for $m = 0$, the characteristic polynomials $x_{R_{k,m}}(\lambda)$ in (11) and $x_{Q_k}(\lambda)$ in (8) coincide; hence the z -transform on both sides of (19) yields

$$(29) \quad F(z) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} c_i + \frac{a}{1 - \rho(Q_k)z^{-1}} + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{a_j}{1 - \lambda_j z^{-1}},$$

where λ_j denotes all the others eigenvalues of the generalized k -Fibonacci matrix $Q_k(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$ except its spectral radius $\rho(Q_k)$, and $a, \rho(Q_k) \in \mathbb{R}$, the coefficients a_j are complex or real numbers.

The inverse z -transform on both sides of (29) for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and the definitions of δ and Heaviside step functions follow the closed formula of the n -th term f_n of

the generalized k -step Fibonacci sequence, which is formulated as

$$(30) \quad f_n = a(\rho(Q_k))^n + \sum_{j=1}^{k+m-1} a_j(\lambda_j)^n.$$

In the following, the limiting properties of the generalized k -step Fibonacci sequence $(f_n^{\{k,0\}}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k))_{n=1,2,\dots}$ are presented.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $\rho(Q_k)$ be the spectral radius of the generalized k -Fibonacci matrix $Q_k(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$ in (6) with $k \geq 2$. The positive numbers f_n in (30) satisfy the limit properties*

$$(31) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_{n+1}}{f_n} = \rho(Q_k), \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{f_n} = \rho(Q_k).$$

Acknowledgments. The authors thank an anonymous reviewer for the constructive remark about the real constants in the definition of the generalized k, m -step Fibonacci sequence, leading to a more accurate exposition of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Adam, N. Assimakis, *k-step sum and m-step gap Fibonacci sequence*, ISRN Discrete Mathematics, **2014**, Article ID 374902, (2014), 7 pages.
- [2] J. Byström, Lars Petter Lystad, Per-Ole Nyman, *Using Generalized Fibonacci Sequences for Solving the One-Dimensional LQR Problem and its Discrete-Time Riccati Equation*, Modeling, Identification and Control, **31(1)** (2010), 1-18.
- [3] Xudan Fu, Xia Zhou, *On matrices related with Fibonacci and Lucas numbers*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, **200**, (2008), 96-100.
- [4] R.A. Horn, C.R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [5] Dan Kalman, *Generalized Fibonacci numbers by matrix methods*, The Fibonacci Quarterly, **20(1)** (1982), 73-76.
- [6] E. Karaduman, *On determinants of matrices with general Fibonacci numbers entries*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, **167** (2005), 670-676.
- [7] E. Karaduman, *An application of Fibonacci numbers in matrices*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, **147** (2004), 903-908.
- [8] T. Koshy, *Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers with Applications*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 2001.
- [9] E. Stein, R. Shakarchi, *Complex Analysis*, Princeton Lectures in Analysis, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, USA, 2003.
- [10] S.L. Yang, *On the k-generalized Fibonacci numbers and high-order linear recurrence relations*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, **196** (2008), 850-857.